Version 2023

Practical guidance

for best practice in management of acute diabetic foot syndrome and foot ulcer (DFS/DFU)



Diabetic Foot Syndrome (DFS) – First Line Management Guidance according to Risk

Pertinent history assessement (see appendix)

Clinical evaluation: Risk-Stratification

→ Signs of Neuropathy?

If yes: is acute Charcot Foot / diabetic neuro-osteoarthropathy possible?

- → follow charcot / offloading guidance and seek expert opinion (Level 2/3 care).
- → Is there an ulcer / multiple ulcers?

If yes: assess severity according to depth and size (please refer to appendix), management according to risk level and photo doc required

- → Suspected Peripheral arterial disease (PAD)? → follow PAD guidance
- → Signs of Infection / Inflammation? → follow infection guidance

~	
빌	isk
₹	3
2	0

«EMERGENCY»

All of:

- · Superficial wound (grade 1)
- No infection
- No significant arteriopathy (PAD)
- No neuropathy or deformity

Any of: Deep y

- Deep wound (≥ grade 2)
- Worsening findings or inadequate improvement
- Signs of infection
- Arteriopathy (PAD)
- Neuropathy with deformity
- History of ulcer or amputation

Any of:

• Cellulitis

- Gangrene
- Systemic infection
- Acute limb ischemia
- Acute Charcot Foot

Doubt about severity

Not confident in evaluation

Refer to Level 2/3

Level 1:

Level 2:

Level 3:

Off-site network of

On-site interprofes-

sional footcare team

DFS Specialists

Primary care

Diabetic Foot Syndrome (DFS) — First Line Management according to Risk

SIMPLE» ow risk

Level 1: Primary care

1 A: Pharmacist, medical assistant, podiatrist, nurse, woundcare nurse 1 B: GP

Standard Wound Care possible

DFS (

Level 2: Off-site network of DFS Specialists

family practice medicine, angiology, diabetology, infectiology, interventional radiology, orthopedic footwear technology and shoe service, orthopedic surgery, podiatry HF, wound medicine, vascular surgery & others as needed

Need for structured care plan:

- Diagnostic workup
- Efficient offloading
- Appropriate management
- → Wound care
- → Arteriopathy (PAD)
- → Infection

MERGENCY» high risk

Level 3: On-site interprofessional footcare team

On-site interprofessional diabetic foot care team, comprising outpatient and inpatient management

Need for emergency care plan

Same as Level 2, plus:

- Fast-track Revascularization
- Orthopedic surgery (if no PAD)
- i.v. antibiotics
- Strict offloading

Doubt about severity

Not confident in evaluation

Refer to Level 2/3

Close follow-up is mandatory at each level (at least weekly)! If no improvement is noted on reassessment or **red flags*** occur, the highest level of care (Level 3) must be applied.

^{*} definitions see next pages

Level 1A – DFS Management

Criteria permitting standard care (1-2 weeks):

- → Grade 1 ulcer (see appendix)
- → Duration < 1 week
- → **Not** on pressure exposed location (not on plantar surface)
- \rightarrow No Neuropathy (Monofilament = 4/4, Pallesthesia > 4/8)
- \rightarrow No significant PAD (= 2 foot pulses perceptible, ABI ≥ 0.9)
- → No Infection (IDSA* Grade 1)
- 1. Thorough History and Examination
- 2. Standard wound care
- 3. Follow up within 1 week mandatory
- → Signs of local infection without systemic symptoms (rubor 0.5 – 2 cm; IDSA 2)
- → Ulcer duration > 1 week, but < 4 weeks
- → Past amputations / DFU
- **→ Deformity**
- → Suspected PAD (< 2 foot pulses perceptible, ABI < 0.9)
- → Ulcer duration > 4 weeks
- → Multiple ulcers
- → Deep ulcer (≥ grade 2) and / or plantar ulcer (pressure exposed)
- → Worsening findings or inadequate improvement (woundsize reduction < 10 %/week)</p>
- → (Consider: if Hx of past amputation/severe deformity, chronic charcot; Grade 3 ulcer)

RED FLAGS

- → Local infection with systemic symptoms or rapid progression (fever, IDSA 4)
- → Suspected critical ischemia** emergency
- → Consider if Grade 3 ulcer (see appendix)
- → Worsening findings or no adequate improvement (woundsize reduction < 50 % within 4 weeks)</p>
- → Suspected necrosis (black wound)
- → Severe deformity needing surgical correction
- **→ Suspected Charcot**
- → Acute painful neuropathy
- → Endstage renal disease (dialysis)

Level 1B – DFS Management

Criteria permitting standard care (max 3 – 4 weeks):

- → Grade 1 ulcer (see appendix)
- → Duration < 4 weeks
- → **Not** on pressure exposed location (not on plantar surface)
- → No Neuropathy (Monofilament = 4/4, Pallesthesia > 4/8)
- \rightarrow No significant PAD (= 2 foot pulses perceptable, ABI ≥ 0.9)
- → No or localised infection without systemic symptoms (IDSA* Grade 1 and 2)
- 1. Thorough History and Examination
- 2. Standard wound care
- 3. Targeted and effective Offloading
- 4. At least weekly follow up mandatory
- → Past amputations / DFU
- **→ Deformity**
- → Suspected PAD (< 2 foot pulses perceptible, ABI < 0.9)
- → Ulcer duration > 4 weeks
- → Multiple ulcers
- → Deep ulcer (≥ grade 2) and / or plantar ulcer (pressure exposed)
- → Worsening findings or inadequate improvement (woundsize reduction < 10 % / week)</p>
- → (Consider: if Hx of past amputation / severe deformity, chronic charcot; Grade 3 ulcer)

RED FLAGS

evel 2 (inform Level 1 B)

- → Local infection with systemic symptoms or rapid progression (fever, IDSA 4)
- → Suspected critical ischemia** emergency
- → Consider if Grade 3 ulcer (see appendix)
- → Worsening findings or no adequate improvement (woundsize reduction < 50 % within 4 weeks)</p>
- → Suspected necrosis (black wound)
- → Severe deformity needing surgical correction
- → Suspected Charcot
- → Acute painful neuropathy
- → Endstage renal disease (dialysis)

^{*} Infectious Diseases Society of America

^{**} ABI <0.5, tcPO2 <25mmHq, toe pressure <30mmHq

^{*} Infectious Diseases Society of America

^{**} ABI <0.5, tcPO2 <25mmHg, toe pressure <30mmHg

Level 2 – DFS Management

Criteria suggesting need of structured care plan:

- → Past amputations / DFU
- → Deformity
- → ≥ Grade 2 ulcer (see appendix) and / or plantar ulcer (pressure exposed)
- → No improvement after 4 weeks under optimal care on Level 1
- → Signs of moderate infection (rubor > 2 cm, IDSA* Grade 3)
- → Intervention requiring vascular imaging
- 1. Thorough history and examination
- 2. Standard wound care
- 3. Targeted and effective Offloading
- 4. Thorough vascular specialist work up
- 5. At least weekly follow up mandatory

RED FLAGS

- → Local infection with systemic symptoms or rapid progression (fever, IDSA 4)
- → Suspected critical ischemia** emergency
- → Consider if Grade 3 ulcer (see appendix)
- → Worsening findings or no adequate improvement (woundsize reduction < 50 % within 4 weeks)
- → Suspected necrosis (black wound)
- → Severe deformity needing surgical correction
- **→ Suspected Charcot**
- → Acute painful neuropathy
- → Endstage renal disease (dialysis)

Level 3 care

Appendix Assessing the severity of an ulcer*

→ Grade 1 ulcer:

superficial, full thickness lesion not deeper than dermis (= epidermis to dermis)

→ Grade 2 ulcer:

penetrating to subcutaneous structures, involving fascia, muscle, tendon, joint capsule

→ Grade 3 ulcer:

involving bone / joint

Pertinent History

→ Diabetes:

type, duration, level of control, treatment, complications

→ Co-morbidities:

cardiovasc. disease, incl. PAD revascularization, renal function, visual impairment, smoking history, obesity

→ Ulcer History:

past ulcer, amputations, location, number of ulcers, cause, duration, treatment

→ Social situation:

housing conditions, mobility, support

^{*} Infectious Diseases Society of America

Working Group diabetic foot syndrome

Bettina Peter-Riesch, chair [8] – Ulf Benecke [6]
Lucia Blal [6] – Thomas Böni [13] – Bernard Chappuis [8] – Angela Cottier [1] – Emanuel Christ [8]
Astrid Czock [4] – Marc Egli [8] – Sandro Fraternali
[14] – Christian Frei [12] – Isabelle Hagon-Traub [8]
Axel Haine [7] – Mario Malgaroli [2] – Dieter Mayer
[5] – Salah Qanadli [11] – Christina Ruob [3] – Katrin
Schimke [8] – Claude Schoenenweid [8] – Ilker
Uckay [9] – Véronique Urbaniak [11]

Organizations

- [1] Swiss Family and Child Doctors
- [2] Swiss Organisation of Podiatry
- [3] pharmaSuisse
- [4] QualiCCare
- [5] Swiss Society of Vascular Surgery
- [6] Swiss Association for Woundcare
- [7] Swiss Society of Angiology
- [8] Swiss Society of Endocrinology and Diabetology
- [9] Swiss Society of Infectiology
- [10] Swiss Society of Vascular and Interventional Radiology
- [11] Swiss Interest Group of Diabetes Nurses
- [12] Swica Insurances
- [13] Swiss orthopaedics
- [14] Foot and Shoe Association



Alle Mitglieder von QualiCCare finden Sie online unter:

www.qualiccare.ch/mitgliedschaft/ mitglieder



QualiCCare association

Rütistr. 3a | 5400 Baden | www.qualiccare.ch

References

- 1 Krzywicki et al., Impact médical hospitalier du pied diabétique en Suisse, RevMedSuisse 2012;8:1215-20
- 2 Lipsky BA, Berendt AR, Cornia PB, Pile JC, Peters EJG, Armstrong DG, et al. 2012 Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of diabetic foot infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54:132-73.
- 3 Uçkay I, Jornayvaz FR, Lebowitz D, Gastaldi G, Gariani K, Lipsky BA. An Overview on Diabetic Foot Infections, including Issues Related to Associated Pain, Hyperglycemia and L Krzywicki CP, Wasserfallen JB. Hospitalizations due to diabetic foot in Switzerland. Rev Med Suisse. 2012;8:1215-6.
- 4 Lipsky BA, Senneville E, Abbas ZG, Aragón-Sánchez J, Diggle M, Embil JM, et al. IWGDF guideline on the diagnosis and treatment of foot infection in people with diabetes. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2020;36:3280.
- 5 Ertuğrul B, Uçkay I, Schöni M, Peter-Riesch B, Lipsky BA.

 Management of diabetic foot infections in the light of recent
 literature and new international guidelines. Expert Rev Anti
 Infect Ther. 2020;18:293-305.
- 6 Hinchliffe RJ, Brownrigg JR, Andros G, Apelqvist J, Boyko EJ, Fitridge R, et al. Effectiveness of revascularization of the ulcerated foot in patients with diabetes and peripheral artery disease: a systematic review. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2016;32:136-44.